

## Chapters 1 & 2: Overview

- Photogrammetry: Introduction & Applications
- Photogrammetric tools:
  - Rotation matrices
  - Photogrammetric point positioning
  - Photogrammetric bundle adjustment
- GNSS/INS for the direct georeferencing of photogrammetric and LiDAR mapping systems
- This chapter will introduce the principles of LiDAR mapping from static and mobile scanners onboard terrestrial and airborne platforms.
  - Point positioning equation, and
  - Error sources and their impact



#### Chapter 3

# LIDAR MAPPING PRINCIPLES

Laser Scanning

Ayman F. Habib

## Overview

- Passive versus active sensors
- LASER principles
- LiDAR principles
- LiDAR equation
- Error sources (systematic and random errors) & their impact
- LiDAR vs. photogrammetric mapping







#### Laser Principles



#### LASER - Light Amplification by Stimulated Emission of Radiation



Energy given to an atom in ground state



Excited atom returns to ground state by releasing energy

Source: Manual of Geospatial Science and Technology, Second Edition: Edited by J.D. Bossler



4. Some of these photons run in a direction parallel to the tube's axis, so they bounce back and forth off the mirror and they stimulate emission in other atoms.

Laser Scanning



5. Monochromatic, single-phase, collimated light leaves the tube through the halfsilvered mirror -- laser light!

Source: Manual of Geospatial Science and Technology, Second Edition: Edited by J.D. Bossler s

Ayman F. Habib

## Laser Principles



- Laser light is very different from normal light.
- Laser light has the following properties:
  - Monochromatic: It contains one specific wavelength of light (one specific color). The wavelength of light is determined by the amount of energy released when the electron drops to a lower orbit.
  - Coherent: It is "organized" -- each photon moves in step with the others.
  - **Directional:** A laser light has a very tight beam and is very strong and concentrated.
    - A flashlight, on the other hand, releases light in many directions, and the light is very weak and diffuse.







# LiDAR Principles



- The LiDAR instrument transmits light out to a target.
- The transmitted light interacts with and is changed by the target.
- Some of this light is reflected/scattered back to the instrument where it is analyzed.
- The <u>time</u> for the light to travel out to the target and back to the LiDAR system is used to determine the range to the target.
- The change in the properties of the light enables some properties of the target to be determined.







- Range = (travel time \* speed of light) / 2.0
- Range + pointing direction ⇒ XYZ (relative to the scanner coordinate system)



## LiDAR Principles

- Outgoing laser pulse
- One or more return pulses
- Intensity of each return pulse



## LiDAR Principles



- Tripod,
- Ground vehicle,
- Airplane, or
- Satellite.
- For urban remote sensing, airborne topographic LiDAR is the most popular one.



Laser Scanning

Ayman F. Habib











- A static terrestrial laser scanner (pulse/phase-based) is an automatically driven total station/EDM.
- It measures distances to objects at uniform increments in the horizontal and vertical directions.
- These measurements are then converted into a Cartesian coordinate system.
- Most terrestrial laser scanners would even provide intensity and RGB values, although this is not always the case.





#### Examples of Operational Systems: Mensi GS200, Leica (Cyrax) HDS3000, Riegl LMS Z210





FARO Focus3D X 330 976,000 points/second 330 m range ±2 mm range error <u>\*http://faro.com</u>



Leica Scanner P20 1 million points/second 120 m range ±6mm at100 M position error <u>\*http://leica-geosystems.com</u>



- 3D city modeling
- Cultural heritage documentation
- Industrial sites modeling
- Land slide monitoring
- Many other civilian and military applications



http://lidarusa.com





http://lidarusa.com



http://www.3deling.com

Laser Scanning







Generation of as-built Plans/Models

Laser Scanning

#### Static Laser Scanning





Laser Scanning

30

Ayman F. Habib





Laser Scanning



- Three Measurement Systems
  - GNSS
  - IMU
  - Laser scanner emits laser beams with high frequency and collects the reflections.









Source: http://www.streetmapper.net/

Source: http://www.riegl.com/uploads/tx\_pxpriegldownloads/10\_DataSheet\_RIEGL\_VMX-250\_08-04-2010\_PRELIMINARY\_pdf.pdf
























Platform: Truck

Test Area: Stadium

Collected point cloud (Colored by height)

Purdue University System



Phenomobile: RGB, Hyperspectral, and LiDAR







### Purdue University System





### Purdue University System

Laser Scanning





PWMMS1-HA

Purdue Wheel-based Mobile Mapping Systems (PWMMS): High Accuracy

Purdue University System



### PWMMS2-UHA

Purdue Wheel-based Mobile Mapping Systems (PWMMS): Ultra High Accuracy

Purdue University System

Laser Scanning





Laser Scanning







**Type: Confusing Lane Marker** 





#### **Type: Narrow Lane**









- Three Measurement Systems
  - GNSS
  - IMU
  - Laser scanner emits laser
    beams with high
    frequency and collects the reflections.



















### Reigl 680i LiDAR











### Sensor Specifications and Data Characteristics









Laser Scanning

- Scan Rate/Frequency:
  - Number of scanned swaths per second
- Pulse Rate/Frequency:
  - Number of transmitted pulses per second
- Ground Spacing:
  - The distance between the footprints of two adjacent laser pulses
- Other specifications include:
  - Wavelength, scan angle, scan pattern, beam divergence, operating altitude,....



Specification	Typical values
Laser wavelength	1.064 μm
Pulse repetition rate	50 – 500 kHz
Pulse energy	100s µJ
Pulse width	< 10 ns
Beam divergence	0.25 – 2 mrad
Scan angle (full angle)	40° - 75°
Scan rate	25 – 90Hz
Scan pattern	Zig-zag; parallel; elliptical; sinusoidal
GNSS frequency	1 – 10 Hz
INS frequency	200 – 300 Hz
Operating altitude	80 – 3,500 m (6,000m max)
Footprint	0.25 – 2m @ 1,000m AGL
Multiple elevation capture	1 – 4 (Full waveform)
Ground spacing	0.5 – 2m
Vertical accuracy	< 5 – 30 cm (1,000 –3,000 m altitude AGL); 1 σ
Horizontal accuracy	1/5,500 – 1/2000 x altitude (m AGL); 1 σ

### Specifications of Typical LiDAR Systems



• LiDAR produces accurate point cloud measurements of surfaces in addition to intensity images.





### Elevation Data

Intensity Image





Laser Scanning



- Some restrictions
  - Restricted platforms: with few space-borne systems
    - Ground-based and airborne systems are more common.
  - Flying height:
    - Restricted by laser power, sensitivity of sensor, unambiguous maximum pulse rate
    - 2,000 m or less, it recently reached 6,000 m with unknown accuracies.
    - Minimum height restricted by safety
  - Flying speed restricted by scan rate and point density requirements as well as GNSS/INS



- Compared to photogrammetric systems, LiDAR systems are <u>not transparent</u>.
  - Still a provided service
    - Raw measurements are not always accessible.
  - No single system to process the data
  - No interoperability between available systems
  - No standards for calibration, strip adjustment, number and distribution of control points ...
  - High initial cost



### • LiDAR output:

- 232802.510 319978.600 44.300 41.0 9 First
- 232802.510 319978.600 44.300 41.0 9 Last
- 232802.360 319979.590 44.460 38.0 9 First
- 232802.360 319979.590 44.460 38.0 9 Last
- 232802.250 319980.340 44.550 41.0 9 First
- 232802.250 319980.340 44.550 41.0 9 Last
- 232802.100 319981.420 44.470 37.0 9 First
- 232802.100 319981.420 44.470 37.0 9 Last

# Black Box (non-transparent model)

# Why Use LiDAR?

- Fast and Accurate
  - -10's -100's km<sup>2</sup>/hour; 5 cm RMSE<sub>z</sub> on hard surfaces possible
- Flexible Collection:
  - Maps through canopy
    - Ground measurement is possible.
  - Independent of sun angle
  - Day or night
  - Light rain is tolerated.
  - Mapping of surfaces with very little/no texture or poor definition; ice/snow surfaces, sand, wetlands, ...

## Why Use LiDAR?

- High Resolution 3D Surface:
  - Dense point clouds; Millions of points/km<sup>2</sup>
- Diverse Data Products:
  - Full-feature,
  - Bare Earth,
  - Contours,
  - Building Footprints,
  - Land Usage,
  - Transportation/Utility Corridors, and
  - Many more ...



### Volumetric Calculations







### Transportation - Highway Expansion












## Shoreline Monitoring





















## Stockpile Volume Estimation





**UAS photos** 



INDOT Lafayette Maintenance Facility

Laser Scanning

## Stockpile Volume Estimation



#### UAV-based Mobile Mapping System

- Platform: DJI M600
- Camera: Sony Alpha 7R
- LiDAR: Velodyne VLP-32C
- GNSS/INS: APX-15 V2 Terrestrial LiDA
- FARO Focus 3D



#### INDOT Lafayette Maintenance Facility

Laser Scanning

## Stockpile Volume Estimation





Laser Scanning



## 3D Realistic View Generation







## LiDAR Mathematics

## LiDAR Equation

Laser Scanning

# LiDAR Mathematics



- Objective:
  - How are the LiDAR measurements used to generate the ground coordinates of the laser footprints?
- We will be focusing on <u>Mobile LiDAR Systems</u> since they are the most general ones.
  - The model for static LiDAR can be derived as a special case.
- Procedure:
  - Involved coordinate systems
  - Relationship between these coordinate systems (mounting parameters)
  - LiDAR equation
  - Error sources
  - Impact of these error sources

Laser Scanning

# Notation



- $r_a^b$  Stands for the coordinates of point *a* relative to point *b* this vector is defined relative to the coordinate system associated with point *b*.
- $R_a^b$  Stands for the rotation matrix that transforms a vector defined relative to the coordinate system denoted by *a* into a vector defined relative to the coordinate system denoted by *b*.





















## LiDAR Equation: Coordinate Systems

 $Z_{lu}$ 

 $y_{lb}$ 

 $y_{lu}$ 

 $\rho \sin \beta$ 

 $-\rho\cos\beta$ 

Transformation between laser unit and laser beam coordinate systems can be established through the following rotations:

- Rotation ( $\alpha$ ) around  $z_{hu}$
- Rotation ( $\beta$ ) around  $y_{lu_{\alpha}}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{lu} \\ y_{lu} \\ z_{lu} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha & 0 \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \beta & 0 & \sin \beta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin \beta & 0 & \cos \beta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -\rho \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{lu} \\ y_{lu} \\ z_{lu} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha \cos \beta & -\sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \sin \beta \\ \sin \alpha \cos \beta & \cos \alpha & \sin \alpha \sin \beta \\ -\sin \beta & 0 & \cos \beta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -\rho \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{lu} \\ y_{lu} \\ z_{lu} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\rho \cos \alpha \sin \beta \\ -\rho \sin \alpha \sin \beta \\ -\rho \cos \beta \end{bmatrix}$$
Object point coordinates relative to the laser unit coordinate system

**Object point coordinates relative to** the laser unit coordinate system

Laser Scanning

**Object point** 







### LiDAR Equation (Mobile Systems) $r_{I}^{m} = r_{b}^{m}(t) + R_{b}^{m}(t) r_{bu}^{b} + R_{b}^{m}(t) R_{bu}^{b} R_{bu}^{lu}(t) r_{I}^{lb}(t)$ $r_I^m$ ground coordinates of the object point under consideration $r_b^m(t)$ ground coordinates of the origin of the IMU coordinate system $R_{b}^{m}(t)$ rotation matrix relating the ground and IMU coordinate systems $r_{lu}^b$ offset between the laser unit and IMU coordinate systems (lever arm offset) $R^b_{hu}$ rotation matrix relating the IMU and laser unit coordinate systems (boresight matrix) $R_{lb}^{lu}(t)$ rotation matrix relating the laser unit and laser beam coordinate systems $r_{I}^{lb}(t)$ coordinates of the object point relative to the laser beam coordinate system • Note: There is no redundancy in the surface reconstruction process.

Laser Scanning

## LiDAR Equation (Static Systems)



 $r_I^{lu} = R_{lb}^{lu}(t) r_I^{lb}(t)$ 

ground coordinates of the object point under consideration

 $R_{lb}^{lu}(t)$  rotation matrix relating the laser unit and laser beam coordinate systems at a given epoch (t)

coordinates of the object point relative to the laser beam coordinate system at a given epoch (t)

# • We are only dealing with Laser beam and laser unit coordinate systems

• Note: There is no redundancy in the surface reconstruction process.

 $r_I^{lu}$ 

 $r_{I}^{lb}(t)$
## LiDAR Equation

 $\vec{X}^{True} = f(\vec{x}, \vec{y}_{nf})$   $\vec{X}^{True} \equiv True \ coordinates \ of \ the \ LiDAR \ point$   $\vec{x} \equiv System \ parameters \ (\Delta X, \Delta Y, \Delta Z, \Delta \omega, \Delta \varphi, \Delta \kappa, \Delta \rho, S_{\alpha}, S_{\beta})$   $\vec{y}_{nf} \equiv Noise \ free \ system \ measurements \ (\vec{X}_{o}(t), \omega(t), \varphi(t), \kappa(t), \rho(t), \alpha(t), \beta(t)))$   $\vec{y}_{nf} = \vec{y} - \vec{e}$   $\vec{y} \equiv System \ measurements$  $\vec{e} \equiv Random \ noise \ contaminating \ the \ system \ measurements$ 

$$\vec{\tilde{X}}^{True} = f(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$$
  
$$\vec{\tilde{X}}^{True} = \text{Predicted true coordinates of the LiDAR point}$$

### LiDAR Equation

$$\vec{\tilde{X}}^{True} = f(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$$

$$\vec{\tilde{X}}^{True} = f(\vec{x}, \vec{y}_{nf} + \vec{e}) \approx f(\vec{x}, \vec{y}_{nf}) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vec{y}} \vec{e}$$

$$\vec{\tilde{X}}^{True} = \vec{X}^{True} + B\vec{e} = \vec{X}^{True} + \vec{e}$$

 $\vec{\tilde{X}}^{True}$  Predicted true coordinates of the LiDAR point

 $\vec{X}^{True}$  True coordinates of the LiDAR point

 $\vec{e}$  Noise vector contaminating the predicted true coordinates of the LiDAR point

## LiDAR Output

- 232802.510 319978.600 44.300 41.0 9 First
- 232802.510 319978.600 44.300 41.0 9 Last
- 232802.360 319979.590 44.460 38.0 9 First
- 232802.360 319979.590 44.460 38.0 9 Last
- 232802.250 319980.340 44.550 41.0 9 First
- 232802.250 319980.340 44.550 41.0 9 Last
- 232802.100 319981.420 44.470 37.0 9 First
- 232802.100 319981.420 44.470 37.0 9 Last

## Black Box (non-transparent model)

Laser Scanning



# LiDAR Error Budget

- The quality of the derived point cloud from a LiDAR system depends on:
  - **Systematic errors** in the system parameters:
    - Biases in the Lever-arm offset parameters ( $\delta\Delta X$ ,  $\delta\Delta Y$ ,  $\delta\Delta Z$ )
    - Biases in the angular boresight parameters ( $\delta\Delta\omega$ ,  $\delta\Delta\phi$ ,  $\delta\Delta\kappa$ )
    - Biases in the measured ranges  $(\delta \Delta \rho)$
    - Scale bias in the mirror angles  $(\delta S_{\alpha}, \delta S_{\beta})$
  - **<u>Random errors</u>** in the system measurements:
    - Position and orientation information from the GNSS/INS unit
    - Ranges between the laser beam firing point and its footprints
    - Mirror angles
- We would like to investigate the impact of systematic and random errors on the quality of the derived LiDAR surface.

## Systematic Errors



- Objective: Show the effect of systematic errors/biases in the LiDAR parameters on the reconstructed object space
- The effects will be derived through mathematical analysis of the LiDAR equation.
- The effects will be also analyzed through a simulation process:
  - Simulated surface & trajectory → LiDAR measurements →
     Add biases → Reconstructed surface
  - The effects will be shown through the differences between the reconstructed footprints and the simulated surface (i.e., ground truth).
- These effects will be shown for linear & elliptical LiDAR systems (with more emphasis on linear scanners).



# Impact of Systematic Biases

• Mathematical Analysis of the LiDAR Equation

 $r_l^m = f(\vec{x})$ 

where:

$$- \vec{x} = (\Delta X, \Delta Y, \Delta Z, \Delta \omega, \Delta \varphi, \Delta \kappa, \Delta \rho, S).$$
$$\delta r_I^m = \frac{\partial r_I^m}{\partial \vec{x}} \delta \vec{x} \qquad \text{Impact of systematic biases}$$

where:

 $- \delta \vec{x} = (\delta \Delta X, \delta \Delta Y, \delta \Delta Z, \delta \Delta \omega, \delta \Delta \varphi, \delta \Delta \kappa, \delta \Delta \rho, \delta S)$ 

# Impact of Systematic Biases Mathematical Analysis of the LiDAR Equation $r_{I}^{m} = r_{b}^{m}(t) + R_{b}^{m}(t)r_{lu}^{b} + R_{b}^{m}(t)R_{lu}^{b}R_{lu}^{lu}(t)r_{I}^{lb}(t)$ • Assuming small boresight angles and vertical linear scanner: $r_{I}^{m} = r_{b}^{m}(t) + \begin{bmatrix} \cos k & -\sin k & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta X \\ \sin k & \cos k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta X \\ \Delta Y \\ \Delta Z \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \cos k & -\sin k & 0 \\ \sin k & \cos k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\Delta \kappa & \Delta \varphi \\ \Delta \kappa & 1 & -\Delta \omega \\ -\Delta \varphi & \Delta \omega & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -(\rho + \Delta \rho)\sin(S\beta) \\ 0 \\ -\Delta \varphi \\ \Delta \omega & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -(\rho + \Delta \rho)\sin(S\beta) \\ 0 \\ -\Delta \rho)\cos(S\beta) \end{bmatrix}$ • Assuming heading ( $\kappa$ ) angles of 0° and 180° for the forward and backward flight lines, respectively:

 $r_{I}^{m} = r_{b}^{m}(t) + \begin{bmatrix} \pm \Delta X \\ \pm \Delta Y \\ \Delta Z \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \pm 1 & \mp \Delta \kappa & \pm \Delta \varphi \\ \pm \Delta \kappa & \pm 1 & \mp \Delta \omega \\ -\Delta \varphi & \Delta \omega & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \\ z \end{bmatrix} \quad r_{I}^{lu}(t)$ 

Top sign refers to the forward flight and the bottom sign refers to the backward flight.

Laser Scanning

### Impact of Systematic Biases Mathematical Analysis of the LiDAR Equation $\delta Z_m$ $\delta Y_m$ $\delta X_m$ $\delta \Delta X$ $\pm \delta \Delta X$ 0 0 Y- axis is along the $\delta \Delta Y$ $\pm \delta \Delta Y$ 0 0 flight direction. $\delta \Delta Z$ $\delta \Delta Z$ 0 0 $\mp z \,\delta \Delta \omega$ δΔω 0 0 $\pm z \,\delta \Delta \varphi$ $-x \,\delta \Delta \varphi$ $\delta\Delta\varphi$ 0 $\pm x \delta \Delta \kappa$ δΔκ 0 0 $\mp sin(S\beta) \delta \Delta \rho$ $\delta\Delta ho$ $-\cos(S\beta)\,\delta\Delta\rho$ 0 δS $\pm z \beta \delta S$ x BTop sign refers to the forward flight and the bottom sign refers to the backward flight. Laser Scanning Ayman F. Habib 116

### Impact of Systematic Biases Mathematical Analysis of the LiDAR Equation $\delta Z_m$ $\delta Y_m$ $\delta X_m$ $\delta \Delta X$ $\pm \delta \Delta X$ 0 0 Y- axis is along the $\delta \Delta Y$ $\pm \delta \Delta Y$ 0 0 flight direction. $\delta \Delta Z$ $\delta \Delta Z$ 0 0 $\mp z \,\delta \Delta \omega$ $\delta\Delta\omega$ 0 0 $\pm z \,\delta \Delta \varphi$ $-x \,\delta \Delta \varphi$ $\delta\Delta\varphi$ 0 δΔκ 0 $\pm x \,\delta\Delta\kappa$ 0 $-\cos(S\beta)\,\delta\Delta\rho$ $\delta\Delta\rho$ $\mp sin(S\beta) \delta \Delta \rho$ 0 δS $\pm z \beta \delta S$ $-x\beta \delta S$ Top sign refers to the forward flight and the bottom sign refers to the backward flight. Laser Scanning Ayman F. Habib 117















### Impact of Systematic Biases Mathematical Analysis of the LiDAR Equation $\delta Z_m$ $\delta Y_m$ $\delta X_m$ $\delta \Delta X$ $\pm \delta \Delta X$ 0 0 Y- axis is along the $\pm \delta \Delta Y$ $\delta \Delta Y$ 0 0 flight direction. $\delta \Delta Z$ $\delta \Delta Z$ 0 0 δΔω $\mp z \,\delta \Delta \omega$ 0 0 $\delta\Delta \varphi$ $\pm z \,\delta \Delta \varphi$ $-x \,\delta \Delta \varphi$ 0 δΔκ 0 $\pm x \,\delta\Delta\kappa$ 0 $-\cos(S\beta)\,\delta\Delta\rho$ $\delta\Delta\rho$ $\mp sin(S\beta) \delta \Delta \rho$ 0 δS $\pm z \beta \delta S$ $-x\beta \delta S$ Top sign refers to the forward flight and the bottom sign refers to the backward flight. Laser Scanning Ayman F. Habib 125





## Boresight Pitch Bias ( $\delta\Delta\omega$ )

Mathematical Analysis of the LiDAR Equation

	$\delta X_m$	$\delta Y_m$	$\delta Z_m$	
$\delta \Delta X$	$\pm \delta \Delta X$	0	0	
$\delta \Delta Y$	0	$\pm \delta \Delta Y$	0	• Y- axis is along the
$\delta \Delta Z$	0	0	$\delta \Delta Z$	flight direction.
$\delta\Delta\omega$	0	$\mp z  \delta \Delta \omega$	0	
$\delta\Delta arphi$	$\pm z  \delta \Delta \varphi$	0	$-x \delta\Delta\varphi$	
$\delta\Delta\kappa$	0	$\pm x  \delta \Delta \kappa$	0	
$\delta\Delta ho$	$\mp$ sin(Sβ) δΔρ	0	$-\cos(S\beta)\delta$	$\delta\Delta ho$
<i>δS</i> Top sig	$\pm z \beta \delta S$ in refers to the forwar	<b>0</b> d flight and the bo	$-x \beta \delta S$ ottom sign refers	to the backward flight.
Laser Scanning		127		Ayman F. Habib



The pitch bias only affects the planimetric component along the flight direction (Y-Axis in this example).

_ase	er Scanning		128	Ayman F. Ha	abib
	Boresight Pitch Bias	• Effect is dependent on the flying height.	• Planimetric effect along the flight direction is dependent on the flying direction.	• Effect is independent of the look angle.	
		Flying Height	Flying Direction	Look Angle	





The roll bias affects the planimetric component across the flight direction (X-Axis in this example) and the height component.

	Flying Height	Flying Direction	Look Angle
Boresight Roll Bias	<ul> <li>Planimetric effect across the flight direction is dependent on the flying height.</li> <li>Vertical effect is independent of the flying height.</li> </ul>	• Planimetric effect across the flight direction and vertical effect are dependent on the flying direction.	<ul> <li>Planimetric effect across the flight direction is independent of the look angle.</li> <li>Vertical effect is dependent on the look angle.</li> </ul>

Laser Scanning

### Boresight Heading Bias ( $\delta\Delta\kappa$ ) Mathematical Analysis of the LiDAR Equation $\delta Z_m$ $\delta Y_m$ $\delta X_m$ $\delta \Delta X$ $\pm \delta \Delta X$ 0 0 Y- axis is along the $\pm \delta \Delta Y$ $\delta \Delta Y$ 0 0 flight direction. $\delta \Delta Z$ $\delta \Delta Z$ 0 0 $\mp z \,\delta \Delta \omega$ δΔω 0 0 $\pm z \,\delta \Delta \varphi$ $-x \,\delta \Delta \varphi$ $\delta\Delta\varphi$ 0 δΔκ $\pm x \,\delta\Delta\kappa$ 0 0 $\mp sin(S\beta) \delta \Delta \rho$ $\delta\Delta\rho$ $-\cos(S\beta)\,\delta\Delta\rho$ 0 δS $\pm z \beta \delta S$ $-x\beta \delta S$ Top sign refers to the forward flight and the bottom sign refers to the backward flight. Laser Scanning Ayman F. Habib 131



The heading bias only affects the planimetric component along the flight direction (Y-Axis in this example).

	Flying Height	Flying Direction	Look Angle
Boresight Heading Bias	• Effect is independent of the flying height.	• Planimetric effect along the flight direction is independent of the flying direction.	• Planimetric effect along the flight direction is dependent on the look angle.

Laser Scanning

132



















The range bias affects the planimetric component across the flight direction (X-Axis in this example) and the height component.

	Flying Height	Flying Direction	Look Angle
Range Bias	• Effect is independent of the flying height.	• Planimetric effect across the flight direction and vertical effect are independent of the flying direction.	• Planimetric effect across the flight direction and vertical effect are dependent on the look angle (DX more than DZ).
ser Scanning		141	Ayman F. Ha

Ayman F. Hadid










Laser Scanning

Ayman F. Habib









The mirror angle scale bias affects the planimetric component across the flight direction (X-Axis in this example) and the height component.

	Flying Height	Flying Direction	Look Angle
Mirror Angle Scale	• Effect is dependent on the flying height.	• Planimetric effect across the flight direction and vertical effect are independent of the flying direction.	• Planimetric effect across the flight direction and vertical effect are dependent on the look angle.













## Error Sources: Systematic Biases

- As expected, systematic biases will lead to systematic errors in the derived point cloud.
- Diagnostic hints:
  - Lever-arm offset error:
    - Constant shift in the object space assuming constant attitude
    - Independent of the system parameters (height & look angle)
    - Planimetric effects depend on flight direction
  - Angular biases (attitude or mirror angles):
    - Planimetric coordinates are affected more than vertical coordinates.
    - Dependent on the system parameters (height & look angle, flight direction)
  - Range bias:
    - Mainly affects the vertical component
    - Independent of the system height and flight direction
    - Dependent on the system look angle







## Error Sources: Systematic Biases



	Flying Height	Flying Direction	Look Angle
Lever-Arm Offset Bias	Effect is independent of the Flying Height	Effect is dependent on the Flying Direction (Except ΔZ)	Effect is independent of the Look Angle
Boresight Angular Bias	Effect increases with the Flying Height	Effect is dependent on the Flying Direction	Effect changes with the Look Angle (Except ΔX)
Laser Beam Range Bias	Effect is independent of the Flying Height	Effect is independent of the Flying Direction	Effect changes with the Look Angle (Except ΔY)
Laser Beam Angular Bias	Effect increases with the Flying Height	Effect is dependent on the Flying Direction (Except ΔY)	Effect changes with the Look Angle (Except ΔX)

- Assumption:
  - Linear Scanner
  - Constant Attitude & Straight Line Trajectory
  - Flying Direction Parallel to the Y axis
  - Flat horizontal terrain



## Error Sources: Random Errors

- The effect of random errors can be analyzed in one of two different ways:
  - Approach # I: Simulation
    - Simulated surface & trajectory → LiDAR measurements → Add noise → Reconstructed surface
    - Evaluate the difference between the reconstructed footprints and the simulated surface (i.e., ground truth)
  - Approach # II: variance-covariance propagation
    - Use the law of error propagation to evaluate the accuracy (noise level) of the derived point cloud as it is determined by the accuracy (noise level) in the LiDAR measurements



## LiDAR Error Propagation Calculator (# II)



































Laser Scanning

Ayman F. Habib




























# DPRG

#### Error Sources: Random Noise

- As expected, random noise will lead to random errors in the derived point cloud (refer to the outcome of approach I).
- Diagnostic hints:
  - GNSS/INS-position noise:
    - Similar noise level in derived point cloud
    - Independent of the system parameters (height & look angle)
  - Angular noise (GNSS/INS-attitude or mirror angles):
    - Planimetric coordinates are affected more than vertical coordinates.
    - Dependent on the system parameters (height & look angle)
    - The magnitude of the introduced noise increases with an increase in the flying height and off-nadir angle.
  - Range noise:
    - Mainly affects the vertical component
    - Independent of the system height
    - Dependent on the system look angle





#### Error Sources: Final Remarks

- Systematic errors  $\rightarrow$  systematic biases
- Random noise  $\rightarrow$  random errors
- It is believed that random noise will not affect the relative accuracy.
  - However, this is not the case for LiDAR systems.
  - Random errors will affect the relative accuracy of the derived point cloud.
  - Depending on the considered parameter, the relative effect of the corresponding noise level will not be the same.









- The calculator allows one to enter specific values for each of the input measurements/parameters for a certain LiDAR point and to enter the noise level for each of the measurements/parameters.
- The program then determines the accuracy of the ground coordinates of the point.
- Conversely, if the user requires a specific accuracy in the final ground coordinates, the program can be used to determine the accuracies that would be required for the input components through a trial and error process.



GPS Signal(m)		Spatial Offset(m)	
Xo: 50	Sigma: 0.02	OX: 1 Sigma: 0	
Yo: 0.005	Sigma: 0.02	OY: 1 Sigma: 0	_
Zo: 100	Sigma: 0.02	OZ: 1 Sigma: 0	
INS Signal(deg	)	Rottional Offset(deg)	
Oo: 0	Sigma: 0.005	00: 1 Sigma: 0	
Po: 0	Sigma: 0.005	OP: 1 Sigma: 0	
Ko: 0	Sigma: 0.005	OK 1 Sigma: 0	
Swing Angle(de	:g)	Laser Range[m]	
A: -0.6	Sigma: 1	D: 57.9965 Sigma: 0.1	
B: -29.4	Sigma: 1	Calculate Clos	e
).795346 -0.1 -0.002790 0.1 ).424531 0.0	002790 0.424531 777985 0.011406 11406 0.240221		
Sigma Values]			
Sigma(X): 0.891 Sigma(Y): 0.882	822 035		

Laser Scanning

Ayman F. Habib



- Accuracy of the system components

System Model	GNSS (m) Post-Processed	IMU (deg) Post-Processed			Scan Angle	Laser Range	
		Roll	Pitch	Heading	(ueg)	(cm)	
ALTM 2050	0.05 - 0.3	0.008	0.008	0.015	0.009	~ 2	
ALTM 3100	0.05 - 0.3	0.005	0.005	0.008	0.009	~ 2	

- System Manufacturer Specification (Optech: ALTM 2050 and ALTM 3100)
  - Horizontal accuracy : 1/2000 x altitude
  - Vertical accuracy

: 1/2000 x altitude : <15 cm at 1200 m : <25 cm at 2000 m



- Expected accuracy (assuming flat solid surface) of the ground coordinates as derived from the error propagation – ALTM 2050

Reprovementation		Reprovementation	
GPS Signal(m)	Spatial Offset(m)	GPS Signal(m)	Spatial Offset(m)
Xo: 678000 Sigma: 0.05	OX: 0.1 Sigma: 0.02	xo: 678000 Sigma: 0.05	0X: 0.1 Sigma: 0.02
Yo: 7.1884e+0 Sigma: 0.05	0Y: 0.1 Sigma: 0.02	Yo: 7.1884e+0 Sigma: 0.05	0Y: 0.1 Sigma: 0.02
Zo: 1900 Sigma: 0.05	0Z: 0.1 Sigma: 0.02	Zo: 1900 Sigma: 0.05	0Z: 0.1 Sigma: 0.02
INS Signal(deg)	Rottional Offset(deg)	INS Signal(deg)	Rottional Offset(deg)
Oo: 0.2 Sigma: 0.008	00: 0.1 Sigma: 0.008	00: 0.2 Sigma: 0.008	00: 0.1 Sigma: 0.008
Po: 0.5 Sigma: 0.008	OP: 0.1 Sigma: 0.008	Po: 0.5 Sigma: 0.008	OP: 0.1 Sigma: 0.008
Ko: 90 Sigma: 0.015	OK 0.1 Sigma: 0.015	Ko: 90 Sigma: 0.015	OK 0.1 Sigma: 0.015
- Swing Angle(deg)	Laser Range[m]	Swing Angle(deg)	Laser Range[m]
A: O Sigma: O	D: 1200 Sgma: 0.02	A: 0 Sigma: 0	D: 2000 Sigma: 0.02
B: 20 Sigma: 0.009	Calculate Close	B: 20 Sigma: 0.009	Calculate Close
0.075592 -0.000287 -0.000613 -0.000287 0.083991 -0.029206 -0.000613 -0.029206 0.013878 - [Sigma Values] -	pecs. Horizontal: < 0.60 m Vertical: < 0.15 m	0.204844 -0.000793 -0.001704 -0.000793 0.228081 -0.081361 -0.001704 -0.081361 0.032769 [Sigma Values]	Specs. - Horizontal: < 1 m - Vertical: < 0.25 m
Sigma(X): 0.2749399 Sigma(Y): 0.289812 Sigma(Z): 0.117804 Sim	ulation 1	Sigma(Y): 0.477578 Sigma(Z): 0.181021	Simulation 2
r Scanning	20.	3	Ayman F. Ha











#### LiDAR Vs. Photogrammetric Mapping





#### **Optical Imagery**

#### LiDAR Range Image





#### LiDAR Vs. Photogrammetric Mapping



#### **Optical Imagery**



LiDAR Intensity Image

Laser Scanning

Ayman F. Habib

LiDAR (Pros)	<b>Photogrammetry (Cons)</b>
Dense information along homogeneous surfaces	Almost no positional information along homogeneous surfaces
Day or night data collection	Day time data collection
Direct acquisition of 3D coordinates	Complicated and sometimes unreliable matching procedures
Vertical accuracy is better than the planimetric accuracy	Vertical accuracy is worse than the planimetric accuracy

LiDAR Vs. Photogrammetric Mapping		
Photogrammetry (Pros)	LiDAR (Cons)	
High redundancy	No inherent redundancy	
Rich with semantic information	Positional; difficult to derive semantic information	
Dense positional information along object space breaklines	Almost no information along breaklines	
Planimetric accuracy is better than the vertical accuracy	Planimetric accuracy is worse than the vertical accuracy	
<u>Transparent Model</u>	<u>Non-transparent model</u>	

#### LiDAR Vs. Photogrammetric Mapping



